

Docket No.: K-0345

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of
Kwang Soo CHOE et al.

Confirmation No.: 5933

Group Art Unit: 3639

Examiner: Nelson, Freda Ann

Customer No.: 34610

Filed: December 3, 2001

For: ELECTRONIC COMMERCE SYSTEM AND OPERATING METHOD
THEREOF

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window - **Mail Stop AF**
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Sir:

Applicants request review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this Request. This Request is being filed with a Notice of Appeal. The review is requested for the reasons stated below in the Remarks.

REMARKS

This review is being requested because Applicants believe that the rejections of record from the Examiner are clearly not proper and are without basis. For example, the Examiner commits a clear error in asserting that Petersen et al. discloses a database server configured to store information about credit information for each of a plurality of dealing companies, and order restriction information of a plurality of products (see, Applicants' arguments in the Amendment filed July 19, 2006, page 8).

Moreover, the Examiner commits a clear error in asserting that Petersen et al. discloses an information acquisition server coupled to a database server and configured to acquire information about each of the plurality of dealing companies and to register the acquired information on the database server, by figure 11 of Peterson et al. that merely discloses a flowchart detailing a download function and no structure or apparatus (see, Applicants' arguments in the Amendment filed July 19, 2006, page 9).

Further, the Examiner commits a clear error in asserting that Leonard. discloses a database server configured to store information relating to product order errors, an order control server configured to gather information about respective product order error items noted in the database server, or execute a selective order control in accordance with determining whether an error of corresponding product order is correct, when these structures or associated functions are not found in Leonard (see, Applicants' arguments in the Amendment filed July 19, 2006, pages 10-11).

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejections be withdrawn and that claims 1-5 and 10-12 be allowed.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this, concurrent and future replies, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 16-0607 and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP



John C. Eisenhart
Registration No. 38,128
Frederick D. Bailey
Registration No. 42,282

P. O. Box 221200
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200
703 766-3701 JCE/FDB:tlg

Date: August 18, 2006

\\Fk4\Documents\2016\2016-076\102529.doc